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# PhD Post-General Examination Program Evaluation

## **Cover Sheet and Instructions**

In an ongoing effort to evaluate the effectiveness of the University of Washington School of Nursing PhD program, we ask that you take 20 minutes to complete the attached questionnaire.

This cover sheet is for the purpose of tracking response completion and will be removed by the Academic Services so that your anonymity will be assured. Data will be collated and provided in aggregate to the PhD Coordinating Committee.

Your response will assist the PhDCC in better understanding the value of the PhD program and determining whether it is fulfilling its stated intent.

Sincerely,

Margaret Baker, PhD, RN, CNL.

Associate Dean for Academic Affairs

Associate Professor, Biobehavioral Nursing and Health System

You may also fill out this form online at https://catalyst.uw.edu/webq/survey/gradeval/229349

Please return the completed questionnaire to:

Jungeun Lee (Graduate Program Evaluation ASE)

University of Washington School of Nursing

Student and Academic Services, Room T-301

Box 357260, Seattle, WA 98195

e-mail: jelee315@uw.edu

PLEASE TYPE OR WRITE LEGIBLY

|  |
| --- |
| *Name:* |
| *Year you entered the PhD program:* |
| *Supervisory Committee Chairperson:* |
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Code:

Date:

# PhD Post-General Examination Program Evaluation

***Questionnaire***

1. **Now that you have completed the general exam, how well did the core required courses in the PhD program provide you with a foundation in substantive domains and syntax of nursing science?**

(For each course please select the description that best represents your assessment of the course and in the space provided describe what content was missing or inadequately addressed.)

**A. NURS 588 PHILOSOPHICAL BASIS OF NURSING INQUIRY**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

1 2 3 4 5

### Comment:

**B. NURS 589 THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES IN NURSING SCIENCE**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

1 2 3 4 5

### Comment:

**C. NMETH 580 METHODOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES IN NURSING SCIENCE**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

1 2 3 4 5

### Comment:

**D. NURS 590 ECOLOGY OF HUMAN HEALTH**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

1 2 3 4 5

### Comment:

**E. NURS 592 THE SCIENCE OF NURSING THERAPEUTICS: THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

1 2 3 4 5

### Comment:

**F. NMETH 579 RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS IN NURSING SCIENCE**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

1 2 3 4 5 N/A

### Comment:

**G. NURS 595 SYNTHESIS OF NURSING SCIENCE**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

1 2 3 4 5

### Comment:

**H. NMETH 591 SCIENCE OF THERAPEUTICS: DESIGN AND OUTCOMES**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

1 2 3 4 5 N/A

### Comment:

1. **How well do you think the program prepared you to master your area of research in nursing science? Please provide additional comments in space provided.**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

1 2 3 4 5

### Comment:

1. **In what ways were the graduate nursing courses (NURS courses: 9 credits), Advanced Nursing Therapeutics and related field course requirements helpful to you?**

**A. GRADUATE NURSING COURSES (NURS COURSES: 9 CREDITS)**

Not helpful at all Slightly helpful Moderately helpful Extremely helpful

1 2 3 4

### Comment:

**B. RELATED FIELDS (12 CREDITS)**

Not helpful at all Slightly helpful Moderately helpful Extremely helpful

1 2 3 4

### Comment:

1. **How competent are you to discuss a variety of research methods?**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

1 2 3 4 5

### Comment:

1. **How competent are you in one type of research methods?**

Very Poor Poor Fair Well Very Well

### Please describe the strengths and weaknesses in your preparation in research methods:

1 2 3 4 5

1. **To what degree did preparation for the general examination lead to a command of the literature pertinent to your area of study?**

### Comment:

1. **How helpful was your supervisory committee in assisting you with the process of doctoral study?**

Not helpful at all Slightly helpful Moderately helpful Extremely helpful

1 2 3 4

### If they have been helpful, please describe how your faculty mentors assisted you with particular issues:

1. **Did you experience any barriers in working with your supervisory chair or other committee members?**

**Yes**  **No**

**If Yes, please describe.**

### 

1. **Please provide any additional comments about the program.**

### Comment:

**The UW School of Nursing faculty and PhDCC appreciate the time and thought you have given to your responses. THANK YOU!**